CLIVUS TRIARIUS: a street known only from one inscription (CIL xv. 7178), but perhaps identical with the vicus Triari of the Capitoline Base (CIL vi. 975) in Region XII.

CLIVUS VICTORIAE: the ascent to the Palatine from the Velabrum on the west side (Fest. 262; FUR 37, 86), which took its name from the temple of VICTORIA (q.v.). It probably began at the porta Romana, a little south of the present church of S. Teodoro. The present path, skirting the cliff, ascends to the north corner of the hill, where it turns abruptly to the right and passes under the substructures of the domus Tiberiana. Ancient pavement exists all along this path, and there is no reason for doubting that this is the line of the clivus as it existed after the erection of this part of the palace; but this building must have materially altered previous conditions and the earlier line of the street. A repaving of it may be alluded to in an inscription of the Sullan period found in the forum (BC 1899, 53; NS 1900, 310; Klio 1902, 259, No. 38; CIL i². 809).

CLIVIUS URBIUS: see CLIVUS ORBIUS.

CLOACA MAXIMA: a sewer constructed, according to tradition, by Tarquinius Superbus to drain the forum and the valleys between the hills (Liv. i. 38. 6; 56. 2; Dion. iii. 67. 5; iv. 44. I; Strabo v. 8; Plin. NH xxxvi. 104, who gives an eloquent description of it, lasting as it did almost unimpaired to his own day, and mentions that the whole system was inspected by Agrippa during his aedileship (33 B.C.), ut paulo ante retulimus [this passage is lost] urbe pensili subterque navigata M. Agrippae in aedilitate post consulatum). Cf. Cass. Dio xlix. 43. Even in the time of Theodoric the cloacae of Rome were objects of wonder (Cassiod. Var. iii. 30). This tradition has been strikingly confirmed—and it is one of the most important historical results of the recent excavations in the forum—by the fact that the latest tombs in the prehistoric necropolis of the forum belong to the sixth century B.C. (Mitt. 1905, 115; HC 4).

The course of the cloaca Maxima proper began in the Argiletum, where it collected the waters of the Esquiline, Viminal and Quirinal, and flowed through the forum and Velabrum to the Tiber. The windings of the whole of its course show that it was in origin a stream flowing through a marshy valley, which Tarquin regulated by walls; and despite what the writers of the empire say about his having constructed it underground from the first, Plautus's reference to it as canalis (Curc. 476) has led most scholars to suppose that it was not roofed until after his own time. Some of its windings too appear to have been due to the erection of buildings under the empire, e.g. that near the temple of Minerva, though the style of construction seems older (see below).

¹ Cf. also vir. ill. 8. 3 (Tarquinius Superbus) cloacam maximam fecit, ubi totius populi viribus usus est, unde illae fossae Quiritium sunt dictae; Georg. Cedren i. 260, ed. Bonn. καὶ τὰς ὑπονόμους τάφρους δ' ὧν ἐπὶ τὸν Τίβεριν τὸ ἐκ τῶν στενωπῶν ὕδωρ ὀχετεύεται... κατεσκεύασεν; CIL vi. 7882; faber lectarius ab clo(a)ca maxima.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. also canalicolae forenses (Fest. 45).

It is probable that nothing remains of the original drain, though a small section in cappellaccio under the basilica Aemilia may be attributed to such an early period; but it has not yet been properly described (CR 1901, 137-138; TF 69-74). Some of the branch drains near the temple of Saturn, on the other hand, may be assigned to the beginning of the fifth century B.C. at latest (JRS 1925, 121; ASA 3).

In the rest of its course there is nothing belonging to any period before the third century B.C., and much is a good deal later, being assignable to the restorations of Agrippa. The whole, however, needs further

examination in the light of modern criteria.

The cloaca proper seems to have begun near the north-west corner of the forum of Augustus. From this point to the via Alessandrina it is built entirely of peperino, vaulted, and paved with blocks of lavathe characteristic style of the republic; while onwards as far as the forum the roof has been restored in brick-faced concrete of the empire. The channel is here 4.20 metres high and 3.20 wide. Eight branches empty into this section-none of them, as Lanciani notes, from private houses, which must have relied largely on cesspools. Beneath the nave of the basilica Aemilia the channel of the cloaca Maxima has been found crossing it obliquely; this portion had been rebuilt in tufa and travertine in 34 A.D. Originally it appears to have run in the direction of the column of Phocas (TF fig. 10, p. 69), though it must soon have turned westward; but a branch was built (in 78 B.C., as Frank thinks—but did the cloaca at that time already run round the outside of the basilica?) to connect it with the line of the cloaca as rebuilt (by Agrippa?), which skirted the basilica on the north-west and south-west, then turned at right angles to the south-west near the shrine of Venus Cloacina, crossed the area of the forum, passed under the east end of the basilica Iulia, and thence into the Velabrum. According to Ficoroni (Roma Antica, i. 74) the whole of this lower section was cleared in 1742; the conduit was found to be built of blocks of travertine and was as much as 10 metres below ground. A part of it, belonging to the republican period, with later restorations, is still visible opposite the church of S. Giorgio in Velabro. It has recently been connected with the main sewer of modern Rome, so that the forum can no longer be inundated by its backwash (aliquando Tiberis retro infusus recipitur, as Pliny says), as it was, for the last time, in the flood of 1901: Vidimus flavum Tiberim retortis litore Etrusco violenter undis ire deiectum monumenta regis templaque Vestae (Hor. Carm. i. 2. 13). The three concentric arches at the mouth of it, which show a combination of Gabine stone and Grotta Oscura stone, are assigned to 100 B.c. or slightly before (TF 142, n. 9; ASA 5; cf. Ill. 43). See Jord. i. 1. 441-443; 447-452; Richter, Ant. Denk. i. 37; Narducci,

See Jord. i. 1. 441-443; 447-452; Richter, Ant. Denk. i. 37; Narducci, Fognatura di Roma 39-49; BC 1890, 95-102; Mitt. 1889, 236; 1891, 86-88; LR 29 sq.; Pl. 107-109; 271-273; CR 1901, 137-138; ZA 262-

265.